## Case 1:23-cr-00215-JLT-SKO Document 14 Filed 01/10/24 Page 1 of 3

1	PHILLIP A. TALBERT		
2	United States Attorney STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN Assistant United States Attorney 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 4401		
3			
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 497-4000		
5	Facsimile: (559) 497-4099		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America		
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 1:23-CR-00215-JLT-SKO	
12	Plaintiff, v.	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT; ORDER	
13	CRISTIAN ORTIZ CORONADO,		
14	Defendants.	DATE: January 17, 2024 TIME: 1:00 p.m.	
15	Defendants.	COURT: Hon. Sheila K. Oberto	
16	STIPULATION  Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendants, by and through defendants' counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:		
17			
18			
19			
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on January 17, 2024.		
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until March		
22	20, 2024, and to exclude time between January 17, 2024, and March 20, 2024, under 18 U.S.C.		
23	$\S 3161(h)(7)(A), B(v)$ [Local Code T4].		
24		d request that the Court find the following:	
25	a) The government has repre-	sented that the discovery associated with this case	
26	includes reports, photographs, and audio files. All of this discovery has been either produced		
27   27	directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.		
	b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to further review discovery, discus-		
28	potential resolution with her client and th	e government, and investigate and prepare for trial.	

## Case 1:23-cr-00215-JLT-SKO Document 14 Filed 01/10/24 Page 2 of 3

- c) Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny him/her the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of January 17, 2024 to March 20, 2024, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendants' request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial.
- g) The parties also agree that this continuance is necessary for several reasons, including but not limited to, the need to permit time for the parties to exchange supplemental discovery, engage in plea negotiations, and for the defense to continue its investigation and preparation, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) and 3161(h)(7)(B)(i) and (iv).
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: January 9, 2024

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN
STEPHANIE M. STOKMAN
Assistant United States Attorney

## Case 1:23-cr-00215-JLT-SKO Document 14 Filed 01/10/24 Page 3 of 3

1 2 3 4	Dated: January 9, 2024	/s/ ERIN SNIDER ERIN SNIDER Counsel for Defendant CRISTIAN ORTIZ CORONADO
5		
6		ORDER
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8		
9	DATED: 1/10/2024	Sheila K. Oberto
10		THE HONORABLE SHEILA K. OBERTO
11		UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		